

# The Audit Findings for Newcastle under Lyme Borough Council

Year ended 31 March 2016

September 2016

**John Gregory**

Director

T 0121 232 5333

E [john.gregory@uk.gt.com](mailto:john.gregory@uk.gt.com)

**Paul Harvey**

Assistant Manager

T 0121 232 3529


E [paul.m.harvey@uk.gt.com](mailto:paul.m.harvey@uk.gt.com)

**Naomi Povey**

Executive

T 0121 232 5294

E [naomi.j.povey@uk.gt.com](mailto:naomi.j.povey@uk.gt.com)



Newcastle under Lyme Borough Council  
Civic Offices  
Merrial Street  
Newcastle-under-Lyme  
ST5 2AG

Grant Thornton UK LLP  
The Colmore Building  
20 Colmore Circus  
Birmingham  
B4 6AT  
T +44 121 212 4000  
[www.grant-thornton.co.uk](http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk)

September 2016

Dear Members

**Audit Findings for Newcastle under Lyme Borough Council for the year ending 31 March 2016**

This Audit Findings report highlights the key findings arising from the audit for the benefit of those charged with governance (in the case of Newcastle under Lyme Borough Council, the Audit and Risk Committee), as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK & Ireland) 260, the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice. Its contents have been discussed with officers.

As auditors we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland), which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

The contents of this report relate only to those matters which came to our attention during the conduct of our normal audit procedures which are designed primarily for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and giving a value for money conclusion. Our audit is not designed to test all internal controls or identify all areas of control weakness. However, where, as part of our testing, we identify any control weaknesses, we will report these to you. In consequence, our work cannot be relied upon to disclose defalcations or other irregularities, or to include all possible improvements in internal control that a more extensive special examination might identify. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the kind assistance provided by the finance team and other staff during our audit.

Yours sincerely

John Gregory

Engagement Lead

**Chartered Accountants**

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: Grant Thornton House, Melton Street, Euston Square, London NW1 2EP. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.  
Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions. Please see [grant-thornton.co.uk](http://grant-thornton.co.uk) for further details.

---

# Contents

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>
1. Executive summary	4
2. Audit findings	8
3. Value for Money	21
4. Fees, non-audit services and independence	26
5. Communication of audit matters	28
<b>Appendices</b>	
A Audit opinion	

---

# Section 1: Executive summary

**01. Executive summary**

02. Audit findings

03. Value for Money

04. Fees, non audit services and independence

05. Communication of audit matters

## Purpose of this report

This report highlights the key issues affecting the results of Newcastle under Lyme Borough Council ('the Council') and the preparation of the Council's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2016. It is also used to report our audit findings to management and those charged with governance in accordance with the requirements of International Standard on Auditing (UK & Ireland) 260, and the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 ('the Act').

Under the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to report whether, in our opinion, the Council's financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council and its income and expenditure for the year and whether they have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting.

We are also required consider other information published together with the audited financial statements: whether it is consistent with the financial statements and in line with required guidance.

We are required to carry out sufficient work to satisfy ourselves on whether the Council has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources ('the value for money (VFM) conclusion').

Auditor Guidance Note 7 (AGN07) clarifies our reporting requirements in the Code and the Act. We are required to provide a conclusion whether in all significant respects, the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money through economic, efficient and effective use of its resources for the relevant period.

The Act also details the following additional powers and duties for local government auditors, which we are required to report to you if applied:

- a public interest report if we identify any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit that in our opinion should be considered by the Council or brought to the public's attention (section 24 of the Act);

- written recommendations which should be considered by the Council and responded to publicly (section 24 of the Act);
- application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law (section 28 of the Act);
- issue of an advisory notice (section 29 of the Act); and
- application for judicial review (section 31 of the Act)

We are also required to give electors the opportunity to raise questions about the accounts and consider and decide upon objections received in relation to the accounts under sections 26 and 27 of the Act.

## Introduction

In the conduct of our audit we have not had to alter or change our audit approach, which we communicated to you in our Audit Plan dated March 2016.

Our audit is substantially complete although we are finalising our procedures in the following areas:

- review of the final version of the financial statements
- obtaining and reviewing the management letter of representation
- updating our post balance sheet events review, to the date of signing the opinion
- Whole of Government Accounts

We received draft financial statements and accompanying working papers at the commencement of our work, in accordance with the agreed timetable.

## Key audit and financial reporting issues

### Financial statements opinion

We anticipate providing a unqualified audit opinion in respect of the financial statements (see Appendix A).

The key messages arising from our audit of the Council's financial statements are:

- We received the draft accounts and supporting working papers on the 8 June, which is significantly earlier than in previous years and demonstrates the progress made by officers in preparing for the earlier audit deadlines, which come into effect for 2017/18.
- The Council produced draft accounts to a good standard and with an overall high level of compliance with disclosure requirements. The audit identified a small number of minor adjustments to the disclosures to improve presentation.
- The financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2016 recorded net expenditure of -£6,425,000 which is due to a reduction in the IAS19 liability of £8,680,000. We have identified no adjustments affecting the Council's reported financial position.

Further details are set out in section two of this report.

### Other financial statement responsibilities

As well as an opinion on the financial statements, we are required to give an opinion on whether other information published together with the audited financial statements is consistent with the financial statements. This includes:

- if the Annual Governance Statement does not meet the disclosure requirements set out in the CIPFA/SOLACE guidance or is misleading or inconsistent with the information of which we are aware from our audit.

## Controls

### Roles and responsibilities

The Council's management is responsible for the identification, assessment, management and monitoring of risk, and for developing, operating and monitoring the system of internal control.

Our audit is not designed to test all internal controls or identify all areas of control weakness. However, where, as part of our testing, we identify any control weaknesses, we report these to the Council.

### Findings

Our work has not identified any control weaknesses which we wish to highlight for your attention.

Further details are provided within section two of this report.

### **Value for Money**

Based on our review, we are satisfied that, in all significant respects, the Council had proper arrangements in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Further detail of our work on Value for Money are set out in section three of this report.

### **Other statutory powers and duties**

We have not identified any issues that have required us to apply our statutory powers and duties under the Act.

### **Grant certification**

In addition to our responsibilities under the Code, we are required to certify the Council's Housing Benefit subsidy claim on behalf of the Department for Work and Pensions. At present our work on this claim is in progress and is not due to be finalised until 30 November 2016. We will report the outcome of this certification work through a separate report to the Audit and Risk Committee which is due in February 2017.

### **The way forward**

Matters arising from the financial statements audit and our review of the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources have been discussed with the Executive Director (Resources and Support Services).

### **Acknowledgement**

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the assistance provided by the finance team and other staff during our audit.

**Grant Thornton UK LLP**  
**September 2016**

---

## Section 2: Audit findings

01. Executive summary

**02. Audit findings**

03. Value for Money

04. Fees, non audit services and independence

05. Communication of audit matters



# Materiality

In performing our audit, we apply the concept of materiality, following the requirements of International Standard on Auditing (UK & Ireland) (ISA) 320: Materiality in planning and performing an audit. The standard states that 'misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements'.

As we reported in our audit plan, we determined overall materiality to be £1,176k (being 2% of gross revenue expenditure) . We have considered whether this level remained appropriate during the course of the audit and have made no changes to our overall materiality.

We also set an amount below which misstatements would be clearly trivial and would not need to be accumulated or reported to those charged with governance because we would not expect that the accumulated effect of such amounts would have a material impact on the financial statements. We have defined the amount below which misstatements would be clearly trivial to be £58k. This remains the same as reported in our audit plan.

As we reported in our audit plan, we identified the following items where we decided that separate materiality levels were appropriate. These remain the same as reported in our audit plan.

Balance/transaction/disclosure	Explanation	Materiality level
Disclosures of officers' remuneration, salary bandings and exit packages in notes to the statements	Due to public interest in these disclosures and the statutory requirement for them to be made.	£10k
Disclosure of auditors' remuneration in notes to the statements	Due to public interest in these disclosures and the statutory requirement for them to be made.	£10k
Disclosure of members allowances in the note to the statements	Due to public interest in these disclosures and the statutory requirement for them to be made.	£10k

# Audit findings against significant risks

"Significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgmental matters. Non-routine transactions are transactions that are unusual, either due to size or nature, and that therefore occur infrequently. Judgmental matters may include the development of accounting estimates for which there is significant measurement uncertainty" (ISA (UK&I) 315).

In this section we detail our response to the significant risks of material misstatement which we identified in the Audit Plan. As we noted in our plan, there are two presumed significant risks which are applicable to all audits under auditing standards.

	Risks identified in our audit plan	Work completed	Assurance gained and issues arising
1.	<p><b>The revenue cycle includes fraudulent transactions</b></p> <p>Under ISA (UK&amp;I) 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.</p> <p>This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.</p>	<p>Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at Newcastle under Lyme Borough Council, we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted, because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition</li> <li>• opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited; and</li> <li>• the culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including Newcastle under Lyme Borough Council, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable.</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work has not identified any issues in respect of revenue recognition.</p>
2.	<p><b>Management over-ride of controls</b></p> <p>Under ISA (UK&amp;I) 240 it is presumed that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities.</p>	<p>We have performed the following work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• review of entity controls</li> <li>• testing of journal entries</li> <li>• review of accounting estimates, judgements and decisions made by management</li> <li>• review of unusual significant transactions</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work has not identified any evidence of management over-ride of controls. In particular the findings of our review of journal controls and testing of journal entries has not identified any significant issues.</p> <p>We set out later in this section of the report our work and findings on key accounting estimates and judgements.</p>

## Audit findings against significant risks continued

We have also identified the following significant risks of material misstatement from our understanding of the entity. We set out below the work we have completed to address these risks.

	Risks identified in our audit plan	Work completed	Assurance gained and issues arising
3.	<p><b>Valuation of property, plant and equipment</b></p> <p>The Council revalues its assets on a rolling basis over a five year period. The Code requires that the Council ensures that the carrying value at the balance sheet date is not materially different from the current value. This represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review of management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate.</li> <li>• Review of the competence, expertise and objectivity of any management experts used.</li> <li>• Review of the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their work</li> <li>• Discussions with the Council's valuer about the basis on which the valuation was carried out, challenging the key assumptions.</li> <li>• Review and challenge of the information used by the valuer to ensure it was robust and consistent with our understanding.</li> <li>• Testing of revaluations made during the year to ensure they were input correctly into the Council's asset register</li> <li>• Evaluation of the assumptions made by management for those assets not revalued during the year and how management satisfied themselves that these were not materially different to current value.</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work has not identified any issues in respect of the valuation of property, plant and equipment.</p>

## Audit findings against significant risks continued

	Risks identified in our audit plan	Work completed	Assurance gained and issues arising
4.	<p><b>Valuation of pension fund net liability</b></p> <p>The Council's pension fund asset and liability as reflected in its balance sheet represent significant estimates in the financial statements.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Documentation of the key controls that were put in place by management to ensure that the pension fund liability was not materially misstated.</li> <li>• Walkthrough of the key controls to assess whether they were implemented as expected and mitigate the risk of material misstatement in the financial statements.</li> <li>• Review of the competence, expertise and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the Council's pension fund valuation.</li> <li>• Gaining an understanding of the basis on which the IAS 19 valuation was carried out, undertaking procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made.</li> <li>• Review of the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in notes to the financial statements with the actuarial report from your actuary.</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work has not identified any issues in respect of the valuation of pension fund net liability.</p>

# Audit findings against other risks

In this section we detail our response to the other risks of material misstatement which we identified in the Audit Plan.

Transaction cycle	Description of risk	Work completed	Assurance gained & issues arising
<b>Employee remuneration</b>	Employee remuneration accruals understated (Remuneration expenses not correct)	We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• documented our understanding of processes and key controls over the transaction cycle</li> <li>• undertaken walkthrough of the key controls to assess the whether those controls were in line with our documented understanding</li> <li>• Reviewed the reconciliation between payroll and the general ledger</li> <li>• Performed analytical procedures of the payroll on a month by month trend analysis</li> <li>• Tested payroll expenditure from a sample of employees.</li> </ul>	Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified.
<b>Welfare expenditure</b>	Welfare benefit expenditure improperly computed	We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• documented our understanding of processes and key controls over the transaction cycle</li> <li>• undertaken walkthrough of the key controls to assess the whether those controls were in line with our documented understanding</li> <li>• Performed detailed testing of benefits expenditure by following the HBCOUNT methodology.</li> </ul>	Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified



# Audit findings against other risks continued

In this section we detail our response to the other risks of material misstatement which we identified in the Audit Plan.

Transaction cycle	Description of risk	Work completed	Assurance gained & issues arising
<p><b>Operating expenses</b></p>	<p>Creditors understated or not recorded in the correct period (Operating expenses understated)</p>	<p>We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• documented our understanding of processes and key controls over the transaction cycle</li> <li>• undertaken walkthrough of the key controls to assess the whether those controls were in line with our documented understanding.</li> <li>• Reviewed the year end control account reconciliations.</li> <li>• Consideration of year end accrual processes.</li> <li>• Unrecorded liabilities testing of payments at year end.</li> <li>• Testing a sample of operating expenses covering the period 1/4/15 to 31/3/16 to ensure they have been accurately accounted for.</li> <li>• Substantively tested post end payments to ensure expenditure is recorded in the correct period.</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified.</p>

# Accounting policies, estimates and judgements

In this section we report on our consideration of accounting policies, in particular revenue recognition policies, and key estimates and judgements made and included with the Council's financial statements.

Accounting area	Summary of policy	Comments	Assessment
<b>Revenue recognition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Activity is accounted for in the year that it takes place, not simply when cash payments are made or received.</li> <li>• There are policies covering the major sources of income such as fees and charges, grants, Council Tax, NDR and interest receivable.</li> </ul>	Our review of revenue recognition policies has not highlighted any issues which we wish to bring to your attention	 <b>Green</b>
<b>Judgements and estimates</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Key estimates and judgements include:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Useful life of PPE</li> <li>– Revaluations</li> <li>– Impairments</li> <li>– Accruals</li> <li>– Valuation of pension fund net liability</li> <li>– Provision for NNDR appeals</li> <li>– Other provisions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The audit work undertaken did not highlight any issues with regard to these judgements and estimates and has not highlighted any issues which we wish to bring to your attention.	 <b>Green</b>



**Assessment**

● Marginal accounting policy which could potentially attract attention from regulators

● Accounting policy appropriate but scope for improved disclosure

● Accounting policy appropriate and disclosures sufficient

# Accounting policies, estimates and judgements continued

Accounting area	Summary of policy	Comments	Assessment
<b>Going concern</b>	The Executive Director (Resources and Support Services), s151 officer has a reasonable expectation that the services provided by the Council will continue for the foreseeable future. Members concur with this view. For this reason, the Council continue to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the financial statements.	We have reviewed the Council's assessment and are satisfied with management's assessment that the going concern basis is appropriate for the 2015/16 financial statements.	 <b>Green</b>
<b>Other accounting policies</b>	We have reviewed the Council's policies against the requirements of the CIPFA Code and accounting standards.	We have reviewed the Council's policies against the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice. The Council's accounting policies are appropriate and consistent with previous years.	 <b>Green</b>

**Assessment**

● Marginal accounting policy which could potentially attract attention from regulators

● Accounting policy appropriate but scope for improved disclosure

● Accounting policy appropriate and disclosures sufficient



## Other communication requirements

We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by auditing standards and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

	Issue	Commentary
1.	<b>Matters in relation to fraud</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We have not been made aware of any other incidents in the period and no other issues have been identified during the course of our audit procedures.</li> </ul>
2.	<b>Matters in relation to related parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>From the work we carried out, we have not identified any related party transactions which have not been disclosed</li> </ul>
3.	<b>Matters in relation to laws and regulations</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.</li> </ul>
4.	<b>Written representations</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A standard letter of representation has been requested from the Council.</li> </ul>
5.	<b>Confirmation requests from third parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We requested from management permission to send confirmation requests to Lloyds Bank, Co-Operative Bank, Santander and Nationwide Building Society for bank and investment balances . This permission was granted and the requests were sent. Most of these requests were returned with positive confirmation, however confirmations were not received from Santander so we undertook alternative procedures, including verifying to bank statements.</li> </ul>
6.	<b>Disclosures</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Our review found no material omissions in the financial statements</li> </ul>

## Other communication requirements continued

	Issue	Commentary
7.	<b>Matters on which we report by exception</b>	<p>We have not identified any issues we would be required to report by exception in the following areas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If the Annual Governance Statement does not meet the disclosure requirements set out in the CIPFA/SOLACE guidance or is misleading or inconsistent with the information of which we are aware from our audit</li> <li>• The information in the Narrative Report is materially inconsistent with the information in the audited financial statements or our knowledge of the Council acquired in the course of performing our audit, or otherwise misleading.</li> </ul>
8.	<b>Specified procedures for Whole of Government Accounts</b>	<p>We are required to carry out specified procedures (on behalf of the NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) consolidation pack under WGA group audit instructions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Note that work is not required as the Council does not exceed the threshold;</li> </ul>

## Internal controls

The purpose of an audit is to express an opinion on the financial statements.

Our audit included consideration of internal controls relevant to the preparation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control. We considered and walked through the internal controls for Employee Remuneration, Welfare Expenditure and Operating Expenses as set out on page 13 and 14 above.

The controls were found to be operating effectively and we have no matters to report to the Audit and Risk Committee

---

## Section 3: Value for Money

01. Executive summary

02. Audit findings

**03. Value for Money**

04. Fees, non-audit services and independence

05. Communication of audit matters

## Background

We are required by section 21 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 ('the Act') and the NAO Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') to satisfy ourselves that the Council has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. This is known as the Value for Money (VFM) conclusion.

We are required to carry out sufficient work to satisfy ourselves that proper arrangements are in place at the Council. The Act and NAO guidance state that for local government bodies, auditors are required to give a conclusion on whether the Council has put proper arrangements in place.

In carrying out this work, we are required to follow the NAO's Auditor Guidance Note 3 (AGN 03) issued in November 2015. AGN 03 identifies one single criterion for auditors to evaluate:

*In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.*

AGN03 provides examples of proper arrangements against three sub-criteria but specifically states that these are not separate criteria for assessment purposes and that auditors are not required to reach a distinct judgement against each of these.

## Risk assessment

We carried out an initial risk assessment in February 2016 and identified the following significant risk, which we communicated to you in our Audit Plan dated March 2016:

The Council has already developed working partnerships such as Staffordshire County Council to develop a civic hub in Newcastle and Aspire Housing in relation to housing within Newcastle.

We need to understand how these and other partnerships help the Council to achieve its strategic priorities.

We have continued our review of relevant documents up to the date of giving our report, and have not identified any further significant risks where we need to perform further work.

We carried out further work only in respect of the significant risks we identified from our initial and ongoing risk assessment.

### **Significant qualitative aspects**

AGN 03 requires us to disclose our views on significant qualitative aspects of the Council's arrangements for delivering economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We have focused our work on the significant risks that we identified in the Council's arrangements. In arriving at our conclusion, our main considerations were:

- Gaining an understanding of the partnerships that the Council are involved in and how they help the Council achieve its strategic priorities.

We have set out more detail on the risks we identified, the results of the work we performed and the conclusions we drew from this work later in this section.

### **Overall conclusion**

Based on the work we performed to address the significant risks, we concluded that:

- the Council had proper arrangements in all significant respects to ensure it delivered value for money in its use of resources. The text of our report, which confirms this can be found at Appendix A.

## Key findings

We set out below our key findings against the significant risks we identified through our initial risk assessment and further risks identified through our ongoing review of documents.

Significant risk	Work to address	Findings and conclusions
<p>Working with Partners The Council has already developed working partnerships such as with Staffordshire County Council to develop a civic hub in Newcastle and Aspire Housing in relation to housing within Newcastle. We need to understand how these and other partnerships help the Council to achieve its strategic priorities.</p>	<p>We have held discussions with Key Officers (Executive Director of Resources and Support Services, Internal Audit Manager/Monitoring Officer) to obtain their views on Partnership working. We have reviewed Committee minutes, the MTFs and the Council plan to obtain evidence of partnership working</p>	<p>The Council Plan makes direct reference to the Council's partnership arrangements. The Council is also a member of the Newcastle Partnership which is the strategic partnership for the borough and has the vision of bringing Newcastle communities together and securing a prosperous future.</p> <p>Examples of other partnership working include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Council is working with other Local Authorities on the Stoke on Trent and Staffordshire Business Rates Pool ensuring that resources are retained locally and reinvested in Staffordshire and Stoke on Trent.</li> <li>• The Council is also working with Kidsgrove Town Centre Partnership and the Newcastle Business Improvement District to support local businesses within the Borough.</li> <li>• The Council has launched a communications project with the Sentinel (Newspaper group). This is the first project of its kind in the country involving the Council and a private sector partner to generate income from Council assets for advertising and marketing purposes. Partners from the local newspapers can get involved with each site to identify customers for advertising space, sales and income collection.</li> </ul> <p>We have concluded that the Council has proper arrangements in place for partnership working to achieve its strategic priorities.</p>

**Significant difficulties in undertaking our work**

We did not identify any significant difficulties in undertaking our work on your arrangements which we wish to draw to your attention.

**Significant matters discussed with management**

There were no matters where no other evidence was available or matters of such significance to our conclusion or that we required written representation from management or those charged with governance.

**Any other matters**

There were no other matters from our work which were significant to our consideration of your arrangements to secure value for money in your use of resources.



---

## Section 4: Fees, non audit services and independence

01. Executive summary

02. Audit findings

03. Value for Money

**04. Fees, non audit services and independence**

05. Communication of audit matters

We confirm below our final fees charged for the audit.

### Fees

	Proposed fee £	Final fee £
Council audit	55,002	55,002
Grant certification	8,052	8,052
<b>Total audit fees (excluding VAT)</b>	<b>63,054</b>	<b>63,054</b>

The proposed fees for the year were in line with the scale fee set by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA)

### Grant certification

Our fees for grant certification cover only housing benefit subsidy certification, which falls under the remit of Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. Fees in respect of other grant work, such as reasonable assurance reports, would be shown under 'Fees for other services'.

### Fees for other services

Service	Fees £
<b>Audit related services:</b>	<b>Nil</b>
<b>Non-audit services</b>	<b>Nil</b>

No non-audit or audited related services have been undertaken for the Council

### Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards and therefore we confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards.

---

## Section 5: Communication of audit matters

01. Executive summary

02. Audit findings

03. Value for Money

04. Other statutory powers and duties

05. Communication of audit matters

# Communication to those charged with governance

International Standards on Auditing ISA (UK&I) 260, as well as other ISAs, prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table opposite.

The Audit Plan outlined our audit strategy and plan to deliver the audit, while this Audit Findings report presents the key issues and other matters arising from the audit, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

## Respective responsibilities

The Audit Findings Report has been prepared in the context of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited (<http://www.psa.co.uk/appointing-auditors/terms-of-appointment/>)

We have been appointed as the Council's independent external auditors by the Audit Commission, the body responsible for appointing external auditors to local public bodies in England at the time of our appointment. As external auditors, we have a broad remit covering finance and governance matters.

Our annual work programme is set in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') issued by the NAO (<https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/about-code/>). Our work considers the Council's key risks when reaching our conclusions under the Code.

It is the responsibility of the Council to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Council is fulfilling these responsibilities.

Our communication plan	Audit Plan	Audit Findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	✓	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. Form, timing and expected general content of communications	✓	
Views about the qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting and financial reporting practices, significant matters and issues arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		✓
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	✓	✓
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence. Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence	✓	✓
Material weaknesses in internal control identified during the audit		✓
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or others which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		✓
Non compliance with laws and regulations		✓
Expected modifications to auditor's report		✓
Uncorrected misstatements		✓
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		✓
Significant matters in relation to going concern		✓

# Appendices

# Appendix A: Audit opinion

**We anticipate we will provide the Group/Council with an unmodified audit report**

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF NEWCASTLE UNDER LYME BOROUGH COUNCIL

We have audited the financial statements of Newcastle Under Lyme Borough Council (the "Authority") for the year ended 31 March 2016 under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the "Act"). The financial statements comprise the Movement in Reserves Statement, the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, the Balance Sheet, the Cash Flow Statement, the Collection Fund and the related notes. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2015/16.

This report is made solely to the members of the Authority, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Act and as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Respective responsibilities of the Executive Director - Resources and Support Services and auditor

As explained more fully in the Statement of the Executive Director - Resources and Support Services Responsibilities, the Executive Director - Resources and Support Services is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2015/16, which give a true and fair view. Our responsibility is to audit and express an opinion on the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland). Those standards require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards for Auditors.

## Scope of the audit of the financial statements

An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes an assessment of whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the Authority's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed; the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the Executive Director - Resources and Support Services; and the overall presentation of the financial statements. In addition, we read all the financial and non-financial information in the Narrative Report and the Annual Governance Statement to identify material inconsistencies with the audited financial statements and to identify any information that is apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing the audit. If we become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies we consider the implications for our report.

## Opinion on financial statements

In our opinion the financial statements:

- present a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority as at 31 March 2016 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
- have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2015/16 and applicable law.

## Opinion on other matters

In our opinion, the other information published together with the audited financial statements in the Narrative Report and the Annual Governance Statement is consistent with the audited financial statements.

## Matters on which we are required to report by exception

We are required to report to you if:

- in our opinion the Annual Governance Statement does not comply with the guidance included in 'Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: a Framework' published by CIPFA/SOLACE in June 2007; or
- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Act; or
- we make a written recommendation to the Authority under section 24 of the Act; or
- we exercise any other special powers of the auditor under the Act.

We have nothing to report in these respects.

Conclusion on the Authority's arrangements to secure value for money through economic, efficient and effective use of its resources

Respective responsibilities of the Authority and auditor

The Authority is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, to ensure proper stewardship and governance, and to review regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.

We are required under Section 20(1)(c) of the Act to be satisfied that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

Scope of the review of the Authority's arrangements to secure value for money through economic, efficient and effective use of its resources

We have undertaken our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice prepared by the Comptroller and Auditor General as required by the Act (the "Code"), having regard to the guidance on the specified criteria issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General in November 2015, as to whether the Authority had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people. The Comptroller and Auditor General determined these criteria as those necessary for us to consider under the Code in satisfying ourselves whether the Authority put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money through the economic, efficient and effective use of its resources for the year ended 31 March 2016.

We planned our work in accordance with the Code. Based on our risk assessment, we undertook such work as we considered necessary to form a view on whether in all significant respects the Authority has put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money through economic, efficient and effective use of its resources.

Conclusion

On the basis of our work, having regard to the guidance on the specified criteria issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General in November 2015, we are satisfied that in all significant respects the Authority has put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money through economic, efficient and effective use of its resources for the year ended 31 March 2016.

Certificate

We certify that we have completed the audit of the accounts of the Authority in accordance with the requirements of the Act and the Code.

John Gregory  
for and on behalf of Grant Thornton UK LLP, Appointed Auditor

The Colmore Building  
20 Colmore Circus  
Birmingham  
B4 6AT

xx September 2016



© 2016 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights served.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International LTD (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL, and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

**[grant-thornton.co.uk](http://grant-thornton.co.uk)**